

Homework solution outline

1. a. Lagrangians for the two problems:

$$L_i(x; \alpha; \lambda) = F(x; \alpha) - \lambda g(x; \alpha)$$

$$L_{ii}(x; \alpha; \lambda, \mu) = F(x; \alpha) - \lambda g(x; \alpha) - \mu h(x; \alpha)$$

FONCs for solution to (i) with $\alpha = \alpha_0$ include:

$$\frac{\partial L_i}{\partial x}(x^*(\alpha_0); \alpha_0; \lambda^*(\alpha_0)) = \frac{\partial F}{\partial x}(x^*(\alpha_0); \alpha_0) - \lambda^*(\alpha_0) \frac{\partial g}{\partial x}(x^*(\alpha_0); \alpha_0) = 0 \quad (*)$$

FONCs for solution to (ii) with $\alpha = \alpha_0$ include:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial L_{ii}}{\partial x}(\hat{x}(\alpha_0); \alpha_0; \hat{\lambda}(\alpha_0), \hat{\mu}(\alpha_0)) &= \frac{\partial F}{\partial x}(\hat{x}(\alpha_0); \alpha_0) \\ &\quad - \hat{\lambda}(\alpha_0) \frac{\partial g}{\partial x}(\hat{x}(\alpha_0); \alpha_0) - \hat{\mu}(\alpha_0) \frac{\partial h}{\partial x}(\hat{x}(\alpha_0); \alpha_0) = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (**)$$

Rewriting (**) and using $\hat{x}(\alpha_0) = x^*(\alpha_0)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial F}{\partial x}(x^*(\alpha_0); \alpha_0) - \lambda^*(\alpha_0) \frac{\partial g}{\partial x}(x^*(\alpha_0); \alpha_0) \\ - (\hat{\lambda}(\alpha_0) - \lambda^*(\alpha_0)) \frac{\partial g}{\partial x}(x^*(\alpha_0); \alpha_0) - \hat{\mu}(\alpha_0) \frac{\partial h}{\partial x}(x^*(\alpha_0); \alpha_0) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Using (*) this implies:

$$-(\hat{\lambda}(\alpha_0) - \lambda^*(\alpha_0)) \frac{\partial g}{\partial x}(x^*(\alpha_0); \alpha_0) - \hat{\mu}(\alpha_0) \frac{\partial h}{\partial x}(x^*(\alpha_0); \alpha_0) = 0$$

$\frac{\partial g}{\partial x}(x^*(\alpha_0); \alpha_0)$ and $\frac{\partial h}{\partial x}(x^*(\alpha_0); \alpha_0)$ linearly independent $\Rightarrow \hat{\lambda}(\alpha_0) = \lambda^*(\alpha_0), \hat{\mu}(\alpha_0) = 0.$

By the envelope theorem:

$$\frac{\partial F^*}{\partial \alpha}(\alpha_0) = \frac{\partial L_i}{\partial \alpha}(x^*(\alpha_0); \alpha_0; \lambda^*(\alpha_0)) = \frac{\partial F}{\partial \alpha}(x^*(\alpha_0); \alpha_0) - \lambda^*(\alpha_0) \frac{\partial g}{\partial \alpha}(x^*(\alpha_0); \alpha_0)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \hat{F}}{\partial \alpha}(\alpha_0) &= \frac{\partial L_{ii}}{\partial \alpha}(\hat{x}(\alpha_0); \alpha_0; \hat{\lambda}(\alpha_0), \hat{\mu}(\alpha_0)) = \frac{\partial F}{\partial \alpha}(\hat{x}(\alpha_0); \alpha_0) \\ &\quad - \hat{\lambda}(\alpha_0) \frac{\partial g}{\partial \alpha}(\hat{x}(\alpha_0); \alpha_0) - \hat{\mu}(\alpha_0) \frac{\partial h}{\partial \alpha}(\hat{x}(\alpha_0); \alpha_0) \\ &= \frac{\partial F^*}{\partial \alpha}(\alpha_0) \quad (\text{using: } \hat{x}(\alpha_0) = x^*(\alpha_0), \hat{\lambda}(\alpha_0) = \lambda^*(\alpha_0), \hat{\mu}(\alpha_0) = 0) \quad \text{Q.E.D.} \end{aligned}$$

b. Proof: Given $z \in \mathfrak{R}^m$, want to show:

$$z' \left(\frac{\partial^2 \hat{F}}{\partial \alpha^2}(\alpha_0) - \frac{\partial^2 F^*}{\partial \alpha^2}(\alpha_0) \right) z \leq 0$$

Consider $\alpha \equiv \alpha_0 + tz$ for $t \in \mathfrak{R}, t \neq 0$. $\alpha \neq \alpha_0$ and, because A is open, $\alpha \in A$ for t sufficiently small.

$$\hat{F}(\alpha) \leq F^*(\alpha) \quad (\text{Imposing an additional constraint cannot increase the optimal value of } F(\cdot).)$$

Writing Taylor series expansions about α_0 :

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{F}(\alpha) &+ \frac{\partial \hat{F}}{\partial \alpha}(\alpha_0)(\alpha - \alpha_0) + \frac{1}{2}(\alpha - \alpha_0)' \frac{\partial^2 \hat{F}}{\partial \alpha^2}(\alpha_0 + \theta_1(\alpha - \alpha_0))(\alpha - \alpha_0) \\ &\leq F^*(\alpha) + \frac{\partial F^*}{\partial \alpha}(\alpha_0)(\alpha - \alpha_0) + \frac{1}{2}(\alpha - \alpha_0)' \frac{\partial^2 F^*}{\partial \alpha^2}(\alpha_0 + \theta_2(\alpha - \alpha_0))(\alpha - \alpha_0) \end{aligned}$$

where $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in (0, 1)$. Using $\hat{F}(\alpha_0) = F^*(\alpha_0)$ and $\frac{\partial \hat{F}}{\partial \alpha}(\alpha_0) = \frac{\partial F^*}{\partial \alpha}(\alpha_0)$ from part a:

$$\frac{1}{2} t^2 z' \frac{\partial^2 \hat{F}}{\partial \alpha^2}(\alpha_0 + \theta_1(\alpha - \alpha_0)) z \leq \frac{1}{2} t^2 z' \frac{\partial^2 F^*}{\partial \alpha^2}(\alpha_0 + \theta_2(\alpha - \alpha_0)) z$$

or
$$z' \left(\frac{\partial^2 \hat{F}}{\partial \alpha^2}(\alpha_0 + \theta_1(\alpha - \alpha_0)) - \frac{\partial^2 F^*}{\partial \alpha^2}(\alpha_0 + \theta_2(\alpha - \alpha_0)) \right) z \leq 0$$

Taking the limit at $t \rightarrow 0$ ($\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_0$):

$$z' \left(\frac{\partial^2 \hat{F}}{\partial \alpha^2}(\alpha_0) - \frac{\partial^2 F^*}{\partial \alpha^2}(\alpha_0) \right) z \leq 0 \quad \text{Q.E.D.}$$

2. The long- and short-run problems are:

$$\max_{w.r.t. x_1, x_2} pf(x_1, x_2) - w_1 x_1 - w_2 x_2$$

$$\max_{w.r.t. x_1, x_2} pf(x_1, x_2) - w_1 x_1 - w_2 x_2 \quad \text{subject to} \quad x_1 - cx_2 = 0$$

$$\text{where } c \equiv \frac{x_1^*(\alpha_0)}{x_2^*(\alpha_0)} \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_0 \equiv (p_0, w_{10}, w_{20}).$$

$$\text{Lagrangian: } L(x; p, w_1, w_2; \lambda) = pf(x_1, x_2) - w_1 x_1 - w_2 x_2 - \lambda(x_1 - cx_2)$$

From problem 1: $\frac{\partial^2 \hat{\pi}}{\partial \alpha^2}(\alpha_0) - \frac{\partial^2 \pi^*}{\partial \alpha^2}(\alpha_0)$ is negative semi-definite. This implies non-positive diagonal elements, which implies, for $i = 1, 2$:

$$\frac{\partial^2 \hat{\pi}}{\partial w_i^2}(\alpha_0) - \frac{\partial^2 \pi^*}{\partial w_i^2}(\alpha_0) \leq 0 \quad (***)$$

By the envelope theorem:

$$\frac{\partial \pi^*}{\partial w_i}(\alpha_0) = \frac{\partial \pi}{\partial w_i}(x^*(\alpha_0); \alpha_0) = -x_i^*(\alpha_0)$$

$$\frac{\partial \hat{\pi}}{\partial w_i}(\alpha_0) = \frac{\partial L}{\partial w_i}(\hat{x}(\alpha_0); \alpha_0; \lambda(\alpha_0)) = -\hat{x}_i(\alpha_0)$$

Substituting into (***) : For $i = 1, 2$:

$$-\frac{\partial \hat{x}_i}{\partial w_i}(\alpha_0) \leq -\frac{\partial x_i^*}{\partial w_i}(\alpha_0) \quad (***)$$

Because $\frac{\partial^2 \hat{\pi}}{\partial w_i^2}(\alpha_0), \frac{\partial^2 \pi^*}{\partial w_i^2}(\alpha_0) \geq 0$ (For example, see Problem #2, Homework, F06),

$$\frac{\partial \hat{x}_i}{\partial w_i}(\alpha_0), \frac{\partial x_i^*}{\partial w_i}(\alpha_0) \leq 0 \quad \text{So (****) implies: } \left| \frac{\partial \hat{x}_i}{\partial w_i}(\alpha_0) \right| \leq \left| \frac{\partial x_i^*}{\partial w_i}(\alpha_0) \right|$$

(SR factor demands no more elastic than LR factor demands.)

b. Now the SR problem is

$$\max_{w.r.t. x_1, x_2} pf(x_1, x_2) - w_1 x_1 - w_2 x_2 \quad \text{subject to } w_1 x_1 + w_2 x_2 = C$$

$$\text{where } C \equiv w_{10} x_1^*(\alpha_0) + w_{20} x_2^*(\alpha_0).$$

$$\text{Lagrangian: } L(x; p, w_1, w_2; \lambda) = pf(x_1, x_2) - w_1 x_1 - w_2 x_2 + \lambda(C - w_1 x_1 - w_2 x_2)$$

(***) is still valid, but now:

$$\frac{\partial \hat{\pi}}{\partial w_i}(\alpha_0) = \frac{\partial L}{\partial w_i}(\hat{x}(\alpha_0); \alpha_0; \hat{\lambda}(\alpha_0)) = -(1 + \hat{\lambda}(\alpha_0)) \hat{x}_i(\alpha_0).$$

Although $\hat{\lambda}(\alpha_0) = 0$, we typically won't have $\partial \hat{\lambda} / \partial w_i(\alpha_0) = 0$ so:

$$\frac{\partial^2 \hat{\pi}}{\partial w_i^2}(\alpha_0) \neq -\frac{\partial \hat{x}_i}{\partial w_i}.$$

Restrictions on the curvature of the value function no longer relate directly to the slope of factor demands.