

Problem Set No. 2

Due by: Thursday, September 2 (4:30 pm)

- 2.1** Prove that if \succsim is a rational preference relation (complete and transitive) that: (i) \succ is transitive and (ii) \sim is transitive. Are these orderings complete?
- 2.2** If \succsim is a rational preference relation, prove that $x_1 \succsim x_2$, $x_2 \succ x_3 \rightarrow x_1 \succ x_3$. If $x_1 \succ x_2$ and $x_1 \succ x_3$, what can you infer about $x_2 \succ x_3$?
- 2.3** Consider the properties of local non-satiation, monotone and strongly monotone, as defined in MGW (p.42)
- Does local non-satiation imply monotone preferences? Do monotone preferences imply local non-satiation? Prove your answer.
 - Repeat (a) for the relationship between monotone and strongly monotone preferences.
- 2.4** Consider the problem: $\underset{x,y}{\text{Max}} f(x,y) = 2(x+y-3) - (1/2)(x^2 + y^2 - xy)$ s.t. $x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+^2$ and $(x+y) \leq A, A > 0$
- Must a solution to this problem exist? Will every local maximum be a global maximum?
 - Find the solution (x^*, y^*) and show how your answer depends on the value of A . Do the necessary conditions of the Kuhn-Tucker condition apply? If so, what is the associated value of λ^* such that (x^*, y^*, λ^*) that solves the K-T conditions? Again, show how your answer depends on A .
 - Suppose $A=10$. Find the solution to the maximum problem assuming the constraint **must** bind – i.e., the domain is $x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+^2$ and $(x+y) = 10$. If you solve the equality constrained programming problem, what is the value of λ for this case?
 - Return to the inequality constrained problem and let $A=6$. Suppose the objective function is unchanged but the domain is now defined by: $x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+^2$ and $[6-x-y]^3 \geq 0$. Is this domain any different than that for the original problem with $A=6$? What are the values of x^*, y^* that solve the optimization problem?
 - Formulate the Lagrangean for the problem of part (d), with constraint $(6-x-y)^3 \geq 0$. Is there any value of λ^* s.t. (x^*, y^*, λ^*) solve the KT conditions? Explain.
 - Consider the function $w(x,y) = (f(x,y))^3$, with $f(x,y)$ as defined above. Is this function $w(x,y)$ concave? Is it quasi-concave? Given the constraint $(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2; (x+y) \leq 6$, are there values of (x,y) that maximize $w(x,y)$? Will they be any different than the solution (x^*, y^*) you found in part (b), with $A=6$?
 - Formulate the Lagrangean for the problem of part (f), with objective function $w(x,y) = (f(x,y))^3$. Are the sufficiency conditions satisfied? Find all solutions to the Kuhn-Tucker conditions. Are they solutions for the original problem? (Hint: are there values of (x,y) s.t. $f(x,y) = 0$ and $x+y \leq A$)?

2.5 Consider the problem $\text{Max}_{x,y} (x^2 + y^2)$ s.t. $x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+^2$ and $(2x + y) \leq 12$. Since the domain is compact and the objective function is continuous, we know there is a global maximum, which is $(x^* = 0, y^* = 12)$.

- Formulate the Lagrangean function for this problem. Must there be a λ^* such that $(0, 12, \lambda^*)$ solve the K-T conditions? If so, find it.
- Use the Lagrangean function in part (a) and find all solutions to the K-T conditions. Do all solutions to these conditions solve the original maximization problem? Explain.

2.6 Cobb-Douglas preferences

Recall the 2-good utility function of the Cobb-Douglas form briefly discussed in class:

$$u(\mathbf{x}) = x_1^\alpha x_2^{1-\alpha}, \text{ where } \alpha \in (0, 1).$$

Let the budget constraint be $(p_1 x_1 + p_2 x_2) \leq w$, $(p_1, p_2) \gg 0$, $w > 0$

- Show this utility function is both concave and quasiconcave and derive the demand curves.
- Let $v(\mathbf{x}) = (x_1^\alpha x_2^{1-\alpha})^4$. Is this function concave?; quasi-concave? Does this function exhibit diminishing marginal utility for both goods?; for either good? What are the demands functions for this utility function?

2.7 The CES utility function (MWG, 3.C.6)

Suppose that in a two-commodity world, the consumer's utility function takes the form

$$u(x) = (\alpha_1 x_1^\rho + \alpha_2 x_2^\rho)^{\frac{1}{\rho}}, \quad \alpha_i > 0, \quad \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 1, \quad \text{and } 0 \neq \rho \leq 1$$

This utility function is known as the *constant elasticity of substitution (CES)* utility function.

- Show that when $\rho=1$, indifference curves become linear.
- Show that as $\rho \rightarrow 0$, this utility function comes to represent the same preferences as the Cobb–Douglas utility function $u(x) = x_1^{\alpha_1} x_2^{\alpha_2}$.
- Show that as $\rho \rightarrow -\infty$, indifference curves become “right angles”; that is, this utility function has, in the limit, the indifference map of the Leontief utility function $u(x_1, x_2) = \text{Min}\{x_1, x_2\}$.

2.8 UMP and corner solutions with convex preferences

A consumer maximizes the 2-good utility function:

$$u(x_1, x_2) = (2\alpha x_1^{1/2} + x_2)^2 = 4\alpha^2 x_1 + 4\alpha x_1^{1/2} x_2 + x_2^2; \quad \alpha > 0, (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2.$$

Prices and income are given p_1 , p_2 , and w (assume that all are strictly positive).

- Formulate the consumer's utility maximization problem and derive the K-T conditions.
- Is it possible to have $x_1^* = 0$? If so, derive the required condition(s).
- Is it possible to have $x_2^* = 0$? If so, derive the required condition(s).
- Solve the K-T conditions to derive the demand curves (pay attention to corner solutions).