

SEC 199A PASS-THROUGH DECISIONS FOR CO-OP BOARDS

Keri L. Jacobs, Assoc. Prof. and Extension Economist
Iowa Institute for Cooperatives Endowed Economics Professor



K. Jacobs acknowledges funding, in part, from the USDA National Institute of Food and Agriculture Hatch Project 1010309

IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY
Extension and Outreach



OVERVIEW

- Historical context of the law
- Summary of the co-op level deduction
- Philosophy and practice
- High-level take-away and key factors



TIMELINE

- **Section 199**, part of the **2005 American Jobs Creation Act**, created a special tax deduction (DPAD) for manufacturers of agricultural products. Ag co-ops could pass through this deduction to their members.
- **Tax Cuts and Jobs Act (Dec 2017)** flattened corporate tax rates and replaced the co-op DPAD deduction with a deduction that farmers could take for selling products to a co-op. This was coined the “grain glitch.”
- **Consolidated Appropriations Act (Mar 2018)** restored much of the original DPAD, but calls it **Sec 199A** deduction. The co-op calculates a deduction that can be passed-through, and establishes different deductions for producers selling to a co-op vs. a non-co-op (an independent).



WHERE WE WERE – SEC 199

- Intent was to spur jobs creation in manufacturing for all tax payers: a deduction based on **qualified production activities income** (QPAI: think net income), limited by **W2 wages** paid.
- The deduction phased in from 3% in 2005 to **eventually 9% of QPAI** in 2009.
- IRS rulings in 2008: Cooperatives were recognized as what they are—an **extension of their members' operations** that produce a domestic product.



WHERE WE WERE — CO-OPS USE OF DPAD

- In order to qualify, co-ops' payments to members must be **per unit retains paid in money (PUMPIM)**
- Co-ops rewrote membership agreements to ensure that payments for products marketed by the co-op are **PUMPIMs**
- **Why** was the co-op's role important?
 - Reduce overall system tax liabilities
 - Co-ops generated DPAD in cases their members could not, and **passed it through.**
 - In grain, members—particularly smaller ones—did not have W2 wages that qualify so the farm-level deduction was \$0.



WHERE WE ARE NOW

- New Sec 199A: deduction (**expires after 2025**) on “qualified business income” for pass-through entities (non-C corp)
 - §199A(a) Farmer selling to an independent: 20% of QBI
 - §199A(a) Farmer selling to a co-op: 20% deduction of QBI **MINUS (smaller of) 9% of QBI or 50% of W2 wages** attributed to sales to co-op **PLUS** pass-through deduction from co-op
 - §199A(g) Co-op: 9% of QPAI, including PURPIM, up to 50% W2 wages and taxable income
- **Farmer-level** component limitation: 50% of wages and 20% of taxable income minus capital gains



BOARD CONSIDERATIONS

1. Producers – calculate a different deduction depending on whether they sell to a co-op or independent.
2. The Co-op – calculation is straightforward, but how much of the deduction to pass through is not.
 - How much can members use?
 - How much can the co-op use?
 - What is the **trade-off with patronage** for both?
 - What is the role for **non-qualified** allocations?



BOARD CONSIDERATIONS: PRODUCERS

Producers: 20% deduction or 11%+ deduction depending on producer's **W2 wages** and **pass-through** from co-op

- ✓ Uncertainty in marketing decisions
- ✓ Producers may have an expectation of a benefit that is incorrect
 - How much can they use?
 - Is a deduction allocation better than patronage?



BOARD CONSIDERATIONS: CO-OPS

2. The co-op calculation is straightforward, but how much of the deduction to pass through is not.

Philosophical perspective: the co-op should strive to retain what is needed for strategic growth, and return the residuals.

- Implies the co-op keeps only as much deduction as it needs to sustain a growth rate (investments).
- Complicated by uncertainty and tax strategies.
- At what point does benefit of tax reductions to the co-op underserve the needs of the members? (marginal analysis)



BOARD CONSIDERATIONS: CO-OPS

2. The co-op calculation is straightforward, but how much of the deduction to pass through is not.

Practical perspective: producers have heterogeneous tax circumstances, but co-ops cannot implement discriminatory pass-through of the deduction; must play the averages.

- On average, producers likely have more levers for reducing taxable income than co-ops
- Co-op likely to take more advantage (to a point) of the deduction than an individual producer




EVIDENCE FROM AN IOWA CO-OP

- Simulation from Phil Kenkel (OK State) based on a representative Iowa G&FS cooperative.
- The deduction pass-through decision is about the **growth rate (GR)** of the cooperative relative to members' benefits.




Co-op GR with varying Sec 199A pass-through and cash patronage




At 50% cash, no add'l benefit of retaining more Sec 199A.



**Growth Rate
of the Co-op
%**



Member return with varying Sec 199A pass-through and cash patronage



Cash patronage has the biggest impact on member return



EVIDENCE FROM AN IOWA CO-OP

- The deduction pass-through decision is about the **growth rate (GR)** of the cooperative relative to members' benefits.
- Given a 50% qualified allocation (100% cash):
 - there was no **GR** benefit to retaining more than approx. 30% of deduction
 - the members' benefit nearly doubles (¢/bu) when the deduction pass through increases from 0% to 100%
- **Cash patronage** is the major determinant of:
 - the co-op's GR holding profitability constant
 - Members' return from the co-op (more than the pass-through)



EVIDENCE FROM AN IOWA CO-OP

- Retaining the deduction necessarily means increasing retained earnings, which is a reduction in members' benefits.
- Non-qualified equity allocations make the most sense in this environment versus qualified allocated equity.
- In corn/soybeans, the member will need about 35-45% of the pass-through, the co-op needs approximately 35-40% of the pass-through – room for both!
- The lower is income, the less this decision will impact net grain prices.



WHY NON-QUALIFIED MAKES SENSE

- At 50% cash: equity retirement payments contribute approx. 25% of member return if NQ (50%) is used; therefore, retaining earnings in lieu of allocation reduces member returns by 25%
- At 50% cash: a member's IRR=15.8% at 50% NQ compared with IRR=10% if Qualified allocations are used
- The typical corn/soybean producer will use approx. 35% of the Sec 199A deduction to make them equivalent to using an independent (assuming equal market prices)
 - The co-op can retain sufficient Sec 199A to offset the NQ tax effect while still keeping their members equivalent.



WHAT THIS IMPLIES FOR PRODUCERS



- Producers are now paying attention to the DPAD-like benefit. Whether they understand it or not—or think they do—it will matter.
- Co-ops will likely experience increased pressure to pass-through the deduction.



WHAT THIS IMPLIES FOR CO-OPS



Considerations:


- use of non-qualified allocations
- status of regional patronage income
- member characteristics (org structure, W2 wages, likely tax bracket)
- Impact to revolving equity



PARTING THOUGHTS

- There exist compelling arguments for passing through a portion of the co-op level Sec 199A deduction.
- It is possible to pass-through the deduction, achieve consistent growth, and make members better off through cash patronage.
- These strategies represent a significant shift in the current financing of many co-ops and will require member education to be successful.





QUESTIONS?

Email me: kljacobs@iastate.edu

SIMULATION ASSUMPTIONS — OK STATE

- Simulated 30-yr pro-forma financial statements of representative corn & soybean marketing co-op in Iowa
- Price, volume, margin and expense info based on 7-yr financial time series
- Maintenance, depreciation, insurance and property taxes modeled as a percent of fixed assets
- AR, inventory and regional patronage modeled as a percent of farm supply sales



SIMULATION ASSUMPTIONS — OK STATE

- Co-op distributes profits as: 50% retained earnings, 25% cash and 25% qualified equity, uses an age of patron equity program
- Assumed 20-yr age of equity for baseline
- Max Sec 199A credit limited to 50% of W2 wages associated with commodities marketed: total personnel expense adjusted by grain-to-total sales (62%); taxes and benefits were 30% of personnel expense.
- Co-op starts with 35% allocated equity; at 50% cash and 50% NQ, allocated equity increases to 48% over 10 years.



QBI FOR FARMERS

It's complicated, and tax professionals are awaiting guidance from the IRS on how QBI is calculated.

(generally) $QBI = \text{Net Income} - \text{Capital Gains}$

- **Does include** PURPIM and patronage from co-op
- **Does not include** wages, interest income, dividend income, capital gains.
- Connected with a **domestic trade or business**
- Each “qualified trade or business” must be calculated separately



FARMERS SELLING TO AN INDEPENDENT

BEFORE (Sec 199)

- 9% of QPAI, limited by *lesser of*:
 - 50% of W2 wages
 - 9% of taxable income

May be a bigger deal for some producers than others

AFTER (Sec 199A(a))

- 20% of QBI, limited by:
 - 20% of taxable income **minus capital gains**
 - wage/capital limits apply if income exceed \$157,500 / \$315,000 for singles / married filing jointly.



FARMERS SELLING TO THEIR CO-OP

BEFORE (Sec 199)

Co-op level deduction:

- 9% of **QPAI** from member business, without deduction of qualified payments to patrons (**no reduction by PURPIM, patronage**)
- No individual-level AGI or W2 wage limitation of passed-through DPAD.
- Co-op designates members' sales as PURPIM—there is no individual-level deduction.

AFTER (Sec 199A(a))

- 20% of QBI is initial deduction, then subtract the **smaller** of:
 - 9% of net income attributed to sale to co-op
 - 50% of W2 wages associated with sale to co-op
 - wage/capital limits apply
- **THEN...ADD** deduction passed through from co-op
 - 0% - 9% of co-op DPAD-like, based on QPAI), 199A(g)
 - limited by farmer's **taxable income after QBI deduction taken**



SELLING TO CO-OP – W2 WAGES MATTER

Total Deduction:

1. Member portion of the co-op's DPAD-like pass-through, calculated from the co-op's QPAI, including PURPIM (199A(g))

2. PLUS
 - a) If you have **no W2 wages**, your deduction will likely be 20% of QBI (on-par with selling to independent)
 - b) If you have **significant W2 wages**, your additional deduction will likely be 11% of QBI, and you may be disadvantaged relative to selling to an independent unless the co-op deduction is passed-through.

