# Co-residential Informal Caregiving: Effects on Female Employment and Retirement Wealth

Nicole Hair, University of Wisconsin-Madison Kevin Hutchinson, University of Wisconsin-Madison

Discussant: Oleksandr Zhylyevskyy, Iowa State University

Annual Meeting of the Midwest Economics Association 2013

March 23, 2013

#### Summary

Relevance: 16m working-age adults provide informal care important implications for labor market decisions

Need to account for selection into care-provider role

Existing literature failed to produce definitive answers

Paper evaluates labor market consequences for female caregivers who co-reside with a *disabled* care recipient

Paper uses **PSID** to estimate impact of caregiving on labor market decisions at both **intensive** and **extensive** margins

Novelty: analysis of longer-term consequences of caregiving

## Comments and Suggestions: Part 1

It would be helpful to develop at least a sketch of a theoretical model explaining how the decisions are made

Clarify whether the paper provides estimates of causal effects or only of associations

Sample construction: clarify how many (potential) observations are dropped when each exclusion is applied

Explore some more the potential weakness of coresidence indicator as a proxy for caregiving

## Comments and Suggestions: Part 2

- Statistical and econometric issues:
  - In Table 1, do you account for dependence of observations (i.e., those from the same woman) when computing statistics to compare characteristics between the groups?
  - Provide a clearer explanation for what  $\delta_i$ 's in Eq. (1) represent and how controlling for them helps to account for **endogeneity** of  $cores_{it}$
  - Is the categorical nature of  $LFP_{it}$  addressed via econometric modeling? Or are you simply estimating a linear probability model?
  - Do you account for the probability mass at zero when estimating the model for hours<sub>it</sub>?

#### Minor issues:

- Use either "I," or "we" consistently
- No need to introduce the term 'PSID "gene": your descriptive explanation for who these women are is good enough
- What is the purpose of Tables 6–8? Are they simply "extended" versions of Tables 3–5?
- Why is there no  $\delta_i$  in Eq. (2)? Is this a typo or intentional omission?